UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/687,322	10/13/2000	Andrzej Mamona	0100.0000810	8828
23418	7590 10/02/2003		EXAM	INER
VEDDER PRICE KAUFMAN & KAMMHOLZ			GROSS, KENNETH A	
222 N. LASALLE STREET CHICAGO, IL 60601		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2122	5 3
		DATE MAILED: 10/02/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

/·W					
Y	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany	09/687,322	MAMONA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAIL ING DATE of this communication and	Kenneth A Gross	2122			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 J</u>	<u>uly 2003</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/687,322

Art Unit: 2122

ملم این ان این اند

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claim 1, 4, 5, 11, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chen et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,496,979).

For specific rejections of Claims 1, 4, 5, 11, and 12, see the office action mailed on April 18th, 2003 (Note: Claims 1 and 12 are amended, however, the scope of the Claims have not changed).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,496,979) in view of Biggs et al. (U.S. Patent Number 5,504,920).

Application/Control Number: 09/687,322

Art Unit: 2122

For specific rejections of Claims 2 and 9, see the office action mailed on April 18th, 2003 (Note: Claim 2 is amended, however, the scope of the Claim has not changed).

5. Claims 3 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,496,979).

For specific rejections of Claims 3 and 10, see the office action mailed on April 18th, 2003 (Note: Claim 3 is amended, however, the scope of the Claim has not changed).

- 6. Claims 6 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,496,979) in view of Amberg et al. (U.S. Patent Number 5,963,743).
- For specific rejections of Claims 6 and 13, see the office action mailed on April 18th, 2003.
- 7. Claims 7 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,496,979) in view of Reha et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,282,709).

For specific rejections of Claims 7 and 14, see the office action mailed on April 18th, 2003.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed July 17th, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Specifically, the applicants argue that Chen reference does not teach "dynamically constructing at least one code bundle from a set of code modules" because several code bundles are packaged before the system parameter is known. However, Chen does teach dynamically bundling a software package after the system type is determined (Column 10, lines 8-19).

Application/Control Number: 09/687,322

Art Unit: 2122

Conclusion

Page 4

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth A Gross whose telephone number is (703) 305-0542. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Q Dam can be reached on (703) 305-4552. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

TUAN DAM

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

KAG